DTE Energy Monroe Power Plant

Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment CCR Rule Compliance Project

Annual Inspection Report - 2021

Project Number: 60662907

September 3, 2021

Prepared by:



27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2000 Southfield, MI 48034 Tel: 248-204-5900

Fax: 248-204-5901

http://www.aecom.com/

Table of Contents

Tabl	e of C	ontents	i			
1.	Introduction					
	1.1 1.2 1.3	IntroductionBackground InformationPersonnel	1 1			
2.	Annual Inspection Results					
	2.1	2021 Inspections	2			
3.		Maintenance Activities in 2021				
4.	Con	clusion and Certification	4			
	4.1 4.2		4 4			

Appendices

A. 2021 Annual Inspection Report

1. Introduction

1.1 Introduction

The 2021 Annual Inspection Report (AIR) was prepared by AECOM for the DTE Electric Company (DTE) to summarize the results of the annual inspection of the Monroe Power Plant Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment. This annual inspection complies with the United States Environmental Protection Agency Coal Combustion Residuals Rule (40 CFR 257.83). Under the CCR Rule, the Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment is an "existing surface impoundment" and must be inspected by a qualified professional engineer on a periodic basis, not to exceed one year.

1.2 Background Information

The Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment area was constructed in the late 1960's by building a perimeter dike to surround a low area of the adjacent Lake Erie; the area south of the plant was removed from the Waters of the United States by an Act of Congress prior to plant construction. CCR materials have been placed and allowed to drain into the pond from the north end of the pond; these materials currently form a delta that extends about 1/3 of the way into the pond. Wastewater flow into the pond ceased on October 21, 2020.

1.3 Personnel

The annual inspection was performed by Mr. Scott G. Hutsell, P.E., with assistance from DTE personnel. Weekly inspections have been and continue to be performed by DTE's plant personnel.

2. Annual Inspection Results

2.1 2020/2021 Inspections

DTE performed the following visual inspections in 2021:

- The annual inspection on July 23, 2021 (provided in Appendix A)
- Weekly inspections during 2020 and 2021

Prior to the physical inspection on July 23, AECOM reviewed the updated available information about the condition of the Bottom Ash Impoundment

The annual and weekly inspections included the embankment crest, exterior slopes of the embankment, discharge structures, and discrete observations of the interior of the basins based on accessibility. In addition to the annual and weekly inspections, the general condition of the site and embankment was visually inspected by DTE daily.

No sign of vegetative distress or structural issues were observed during the annual inspection on the embankment crest, exterior slopes of the embankment and discharge structure. These structures appeared to be in good condition. No changes to the exterior geometry of the impoundment have occurred since the last inspection, however closure construction began in March 2021 as described below. Instrumentation related to geotechnical monitoring of the impoundment slopes is not present at the impoundment.

The water elevation of the pond is approximately ~575 MSL as noted in the inspection report in Appendix A. Water depth ranges from zero along the northern shore to 3 feet along the eastern and southern perimeter and up to 25 feet in depth near the weir. The storage capacity of the impoundment has been estimated to be 15.8M cubic feet ("CCR Impoundment Inflow Design Flood Control System Plan: Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment, Monroe Power Plant", AECOM revised August 30, 2019). CCR materials have not been placed in the impoundment since 2015.

Closure construction in the Bottom Ash Impoundment commenced in March 2021. As of July 23, approximately 5% of the volume of the pond in the northeast quarter has been removed through wet excavation and transported off-site. The northern third of the impoundment has been regraded to accommodate the construction contractor's equipment, access roads, settling basins, and a geotube field.

Noteworthy observations are listed below; these conditions do not represent an immediate concern for the safe operation or stability of the Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment and will be addressed through the closure of the Bottom Ash Impoundment.

 The downslope sides of the Impoundment are heavily vegetated and/or below the water surface. A thorough inspection of the entire surface perimeter of the impoundment is not practical.

3. Maintenance Activities in 2021

3.1 Maintenance Activities

DTE installed additional stone materials along the southern berm; raising the top crest elevation 12 to 18 inches. Site access roads have also been improved as part of the ongoing closure construction.

4. Conclusion and Certification

4.1 Conclusion

The annual inspection did not identify any evidence of structural weakness or instability in the Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment at DTE's Monroe Power Plant. Observations included continued inspections of the perimeter of the impoundment as well as a review of closure construction that began in March 2021.

Based on the annual inspection results and review of available data (including design documents and weekly inspection documentation) the Bottom Ash Impoundment was designed and constructed with generally accepted good engineering standards. Additionally, the Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment is operated and maintained using generally accepted good engineering practice.

4.2 Certification

Certified by:

Scott G. Hutsell, P.E. Michigan License #43961

Senior Project Manager

Page 1 of 7

Statio	n/Owner	ounty					State		
Monro	oe Bottom Ash Impoundment / DTE Ene	/lonroe	oe				Michigan		
_	t ed By G. Hutsell, P.E.		Date 07/23/2021			Phone No. 517-505-1301			
	·	1 -							
1	of Impoundment: Concrete Gravity			ype of Inspe		☐Initial	Weather		
Concrete Arch Stone Masonry Concrete Buttress Other				Periodic Follow up Other			∐Snow (Cover Other	
	d Description ttom Ash Impoundment is an inactive surfa		Condition Assessment ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory						
northe	rn half is deposited sluiced ash while the so	outhern half contai	ins	☐ Poor ☐ Not rated ☐ Fair					
from 3	to 25 ft of water surrounded by embankme	ents/berms.		_					
Remai	rks: e Construction for the impoundment is und		tions None Maintenance Monitoring Minor Repair Engineering	Maintenance					
						Other reinspection			
Pool L ~575 f	evel (ft) t MSL			Total Precipitation since last inspection n/a					
		Proble						001/50	
							COVER:		
REAM SLOPE/FACE	1. None □ 7. Wave Erosion □ 13. Scarps □ 2. Vegetation > 2" dia. □ 8. Slides □ 14. Sloughi □ 3. Veg. height > 6" □ 9. Depressions □ 15. Holes □ 4. High bushes □ 10. Bulges □ 16. Undern □ 5. Animal Burrows □ 11. Cracks □ 17. Displac □ 6. Livestock damage □ 12. Spalling □ 18. Deterior			□ 21. Displaced rip rap □ 22. Sparse rip rap			□Vegetation □Rip rap □Concrete □Asphalt □Other		
AM S	Comments /Action Items The embankments surrounding the Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment are typically 20' wide at the crest – while the access road is								
	made up of crushed rock and rip-rap. The interior sideslopes, especially on the western side of the pond are heavily vegetated. The								
UPST	southern embankment is a rip-rap separation berm built in 2015 – this berm has been upgraded since the last inspection to raise the								
	elevation 1' to 1.5 feet across the length of the berm.								
	Actions None Maintenance Monitoring Minor Rep					Engineering		COVER:	
TOP OF DAM/CREST	□ 1. None □ 2. Vegetation > 2" dia. □ 3. Veg. height > 6" □ 4. High bushes □ 5. Animal Burrows □ 6. Livested damage. □ 1. None □ 7. Ruts □ 8. Depres □ 9. Unleve □ 10. Misal □ 11. Signs	ssions el	12. Cr 13. De 14. Di 15. Ex	eteriorated joir splaced joints posed	nts	17. Scarps 18. Spalling 19. Sinkhole 20. Puddles 21. Other			

Page 2 of 7

	Comments /Action Items The embankments surrounding the Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment are typically 20' wide at the crest; the access road is made up									
	of crushed rock and rip-rap.	The southern embankmen	t is a rip-rap separation b	erm built in 2015 and	upgraded in 20	20 and 2021.				
	Actions No	ne Mainte	nance Monitori	ng Minor Ro	epair <u></u> E	ingineering				
		PRO	DBLEMS	:		COVER:				
E/FACE	 1. None 2. Vegetation >2" dia.\ 3. Veg. height >6" 4. High bushes 5. Poor grass cover 6. Animal Burrows 7. Livestock damage 	8. Wetness 9. Seepage 10. Boils 11. Puddles 12. Erosion 13. Slope instability 14. Scarps	☐ 15. Sloughs/bulges☐ 16. Depressions☐ 17. Undercutting☐ 18. Rutting/rills☐ 19. Cracks☐ 20. Scour☐ 21. Spalling☐ 19.	22. Displaced joir 23. Deteriorated 24. Exposed reinf 25. Riprap needs 26. Veg. or sedim 27. Other	joints forcement attention					
SLOI	28. Does standing water or se	eepage contain sediment?	i .	- !	☐Yes ⊠No	NA				
M	29. Is there natural hillside se	epage in in embankment ar	ea?		☐Yes ⊠No	. □NA				
DOWNSTREAM SLOPE/FACE	Describe seepage with regard to quantity and clarity (turbidity). Note changes: None									
	Comments /Action Items Along the outside embankme built in 2015. Some minor sle monitored by DTE personnel	oughing apparent along we on a weekly basis.	estern perimeter along th	e discharge canal – su	spect areas are	currently being				
	Actions No			ng Minor R	epair LIE	ingineering				
ст	1. None 2. Vegetation >2" dia. 3. Veg. height >6" 4. High bushes 5. Poor grass cover 6. Animal Burrows 7. Livestock damage	8. Wetness 9. Seepage 10. Boils 11. Puddles 12. Erosion 13. Slope instability 14. Scarps	DBLEMS 15. Sloughs/bulges 16. Depressions 17. Undercutting 18. Rutting/rills 19. Cracks 20. Scour 21. Spalling	22. Displaced joir 23. Deteriorated 24. Exposed reini 25. Riprap needs 26. Veg. or sedim 27. Other	joints forcement attention	COVER: Vegetation Rip rap Concrete Asphalt Other				
ΤA	28. Does standing water or seepage contain sediment?									
TOE CONTA	Describe seepage with regard to quantity and clarity (turbidity). Note changes: None									
	Comments /Action Items Toe is inaccessible to direct inspection due to heavy vegetation along the northern water surface. Toe is inaccessible along the western and eastern perimeter due to the water surface. Portions of the toe of slope that are visible from the south bank and other slopes look to be in good condition.									
	Actions No			ng Minor Ro	epair	ngineering				
A		DD/	OBLEMS			COVER:				

Page 3 of 7

	1. None 2. Vegetation >2" dia 3. Veg. height >6" 4. High bushes 5. Poor grass cover 6. Animal Burrows 7. Livestock damage Comments /Action Item Not applicable	10. Boils 11. Puddle 12. Erosio 13. Slope 14. Scarps	e es n instability	☐16. De ☐17. Un	our	22. Displaced joints 23. Deteriorated join 24. Exposed reinforce 25. Riprap needs atte 26. Veg. or sediment 27. Other	ement ention	□Vegetation □Rip rap □Concrete □Asphalt □Other	
	Actions	⊠None	Mainter	nance	Monitorin	g Minor Repai	r 🗀	Engineering	
				OBSER\	/ATIONS	·			
	☐No Spillway								
	Is spillway control sys	stem operating p	roperly?					⊠Yes No	
			PROB	LEMS				CHANNEL LINING	
PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY	1. None 2. Trash guard 3. Debris 4. Obstructed 5. Plugged/Clogged 6. Gates Damaged 7. Gates leaking 8. Gates Rusted	9. Misalignmer 10. Joints leaki 11. Joint deter 12. Joint displa 13. Conduit co 14. Exposed re	ing ioration acement Ilapsed	16. U 17. V 18. Cr 19. H 20. Sr 21. Sl 22. O undercu	racks oles oalling ides utlet	23. Sloughing 24. Scarps 25. Deteriorated li 26. Boils 27. Outlet erosion 28. Displaced rip ra 29. Sparse rip rap 30. Other		☑Vegetation ☐Rip rap ☐Concrete ☐Asphalt ☐Other	
	Comments /Action Items Spillway appears to be in good repair – water levels in the pond and discharge canal are lower than in 2020. There are no control systems so the spillway acts as both principal and emergency.								
	Actions	⊠None	<u></u> Mainter		Monitorin	g Minor Repai	r L	Engineering	
	OBSERVATIONS								
	☐ No emergency spillw	ay			Same as p	rimary spillway			
			PROB	LEMS				CHANNEL LINING	
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY	1. None 2. Debris in channel 3. Gates 4. Misalignment	5. Joint deterioration 6. Joint displacement 7. Exposed reinforcement 8. Erosion		☐ 10. Voids ☐ 15. Sparse rip r☐ 11. Cracks ☐ 16. Outlet und		☐ 14. Displaced rip rap☐ 15. Sparse rip rap☐ 16. Outlet undercutti☐ 17.Inadequate capac☐ 18. Other	ing [Vegetation Rip rap Concrete Asphalt Other	
EMERGEN	Comments /Action Items See Principal Spillway Above								
	Actions	⊠None [Maintenanc		Monitoring	☐ Minor Repair	L	Engineering	
S/			1.2	Observ	vations		⊠Yes		
DRAINS/ OUTLET	 Is discharge system operating properly? Valves and operators in good condition? 							□No □N/A	
DR/ OU			condition?				☐Yes	□No ⊠N/A	
	Walkway in go	⊠Yes	□No □N/A						

Page 4 of 7

	4. Is there any turbidity observed at the outlet?								⊠No □N/A
	5. Seepage at pipe outlet								□No ⊠N/A
	6. No Bottom Dra	Yes	□No ⊠N/A						
	7. Bottom Drain C	Yes	□No ⊠N/A						
	8. Subsurface Dra		Yes	□No ⊠N/A					
	9. Subsurface dra		Yes	□No ⊠N/A					
	10. Subsurface dra		Yes						
	11. Animal guard		Yes						
	12. other	Yes	□No □N/A						
	Comments /Action Items								
	None								
		7					¬		
	Actions	None	∟Ма	intenance		nitoring	Minor Repai	<u> </u>	Engineering
				OBSERV					V
7	Has there been a sudd	ien arop in the			ounan	nent			Yes 🔲 No
0				PROBLEMS	- 1				
RESERVIOR/POOL			immer epressions	☐5. Whirlpo	ools	6. Sinkholes	⊠7. Unwa	inted gro	owth in pond water
∑	Comments /Action Items								
ESE	Pool level has been relatively steady since observations were first begun by this inspector in late 2015; however, with the cessation								
~	of waste water inflow to the pond in October 2020 the water level has dropped 4.5" and stabilized. The surrounding waters (Lake Erie, Discharge Canal) were observed to be lower than documented in the 2020 inspection.								
	Actions None	Maintenan		onitoring	Minor		gineering		
	OBSERVATIONS								
	1. leachate/storm								
	Drainage/ diversion ditches/riprap-lined channels in good condition?							Yes	
	3. Other steel structures/steel reinforcement in concrete structures in good condition?							Yes	□No ⊠N/A
	4. Other concrete structures in good condition?							Yes	□No ⊠N/A
	5. Overflow pipes and flap gates on filter dam/ drain pipe filter zone in good condition?							Yes	□No ⊠N/A
	6. Howell Bunger Valves in good condition?							Yes	□No ⊠N/A
	7. Weirs in good condition?							Yes	□No ⊠N/A
œ	8. Perimeter Fences and Gates in good condition?							⊠Yes	□No □N/A
THER	9. Security devices in good condition							Yes	□No ⊠N/A
0	10. Signs in good condition							Yes	□No ⊠N/A
	11. Instrumentation in good condition							Yes	
	12. Reference monuments/Survey Monuments in good condition							Yes	
	13. other	•	,					Yes	
	Comments /Action Items	;							
	Actions	None	ШMа	intenance	Mor	nitoring [Minor Repai	r [Engineering

Are there any other abnormal conditions at the Impoundment that could pose a risk to public health, safety or welfare; the environment or natural resources Yes No

Page 5 of 7

	South	A.	Jutsell	
Inspector Signature	7.000		4.21	
Date: <u>07/23/21</u>				



Photo 1: Looking south at discharge weir with additional turbidity curtains installed to protect during closure construction



Photo 2: Weir – water levels in the discharge canal are lower than in 2020.



Photo 3: Looking east along the south separation berm – the berm has been raised 1 to 1.5' since the last annual inspection



Photo 4: Area along the west berm repaired with additional rip-rap in previous years. Slope looks stable; DTE personnel monitor suspect areas without rip-rap protection on a weekly basis.



Photo 5: Vegetated slope and large rip-rap along the eastern perimeter.

Photo 6: Looking north from access road at closure construction in progress; dredge is clearly visible along with additional turbidity curtains installed as protection



Photo 7: Discharge 'path' from weir to the discharge canal; water level has decreased in both the pond and the discharge canal to make this the primary discharge point between the two water bodies.