DTE Energy Monroe Power Plant

Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment CCR Rule Compliance Project

Annual Inspection Report - 2018

Project Number: 60516675

September 7, 2018

Prepared by:



27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2000 Southfield, MI 48034

Tel: 248-204-5900 Fax: 248-204-5901

http://www.aecom.com/

Table of Contents

Tab	le of C	ontents	i			
1.	Introduction					
	1.1 1.2 1.3	IntroductionBackground InformationPersonnel	1 1			
2.	Annual Inspection Results					
	2.1	2018 Inspections	2			
3.	Mair	ntenance Activities in 2018	3			
	3.1	Maintenance Activities	3			
4.	Conclusion and Certification					
	4.1 4.2	ConclusionCertification	4 4			

Appendices

A. 2018 Annual Inspection Report

1. Introduction

1.1 Introduction

The 2018 Annual Inspection Report (AIR) was prepared by AECOM for the DTE Electric Company (DTE) to summarize the results of the annual inspection of the Monroe Power Plant Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment. This annual inspection complies with the United States Environmental Protection Agency Coal Combustion Residual Rule (40 CFR 257.73). Under the CCR Rule, the Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment is an "existing surface impoundment" and must be inspected by a qualified professional engineer on a periodic basis, not to exceed one year.

1.2 Background Information

The inactive Bottom Ash CCR Impoundment area was constructed in the late 1960's by building a perimeter dike to surround a low area of the adjacent Lake Erie; the area south of the plant was removed from the Waters of the United States by an Act of Congress prior to plant construction. CCR materials have been placed and allowed to drain into the pond from the north end of the pond; these materials currently form a delta that extends about 1/3 of the way into the pond.

1.3 Personnel

The annual inspection was performed by Mr. Scott G. Hutsell, P.E., with assistance from DTE personnel. Weekly inspections have been and continue to be performed by DTE's plant personnel.

2. Annual Inspection Results

2.1 2018 Inspections

DTE performed the following visual inspections in 2018:

- The annual inspection on July 31, 2018 (provided in Appendix A)
- Weekly inspections during 2018

The annual and weekly inspections included the embankment crest, exterior slopes of the embankment, discharge structures, and discrete observations of the interior of the basins based on accessibility. In addition to the annual and weekly inspections, the general condition of the site and embankment was visually inspected by DTE on a daily basis.

In general, no sign of vegetative distress or structural issues were observed during the annual inspection on the embankment crest, exterior slopes of the embankment and discharge structure. These structures appeared to be in good condition. Areas of concern are listed below; these conditions do not represent an immediate concern for the safe operation or stability of the Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment and will be addressed through the closure of the Bottom Ash Impoundment.

- The downslope sides of the Impoundment are heavily vegetated and a thorough inspection of the entire surface area of the impoundment is not practical.
- The downstream side of the spillway is heavily vegetated and flow through the spillway is redirected preferentially due to this vegetation. Flow through the spillway is not impeded due to the vegetation at this time.
- There are two areas along the western side of the berm surrounding area 15 (along the discharge canal) where the rip-rap has slid down the slope; DTE should consider replacing this rip-rap to prevent future erosion of the berm in these areas.

3. Maintenance Activities in 2018

3.1 Maintenance Activities

DTE installed additional security fencing along the northern edge of the Bottom Ash Impoundment in March of 2018.

4. Conclusion and Certification

4.1 Conclusion

The annual inspection did not identify any evidence of structural weakness or instability in the Inactive Bottom Ash Impoundment at DTE's Monroe Power Plant.

Based on the annual inspection results and review of available data (including design documents and weekly inspection documentation) the Bottom Ash Impoundment was designed and constructed with generally accepted good engineering standards. Additionally, the Bottom Ash Impoundment is operated and maintained using generally accepted good engineering practice.

4.2 Certification

Certified by:

Scott G. Hutsell, P.E. Michigan License #43961

Senior Project Manager

OF MICHIGAN SCOTT G. HUTSELL

ENGINEER /2-27-/8 No.

POFESSIONA

County

Station/Owner

Page 1 of 6

State

	pe Area 15 Bottom Ash Basin / DTE Energy	Monro	oe	Michigan			
Inspec	•		Date	Phone No.			
Scott 6	G. Hutsell, P.E.		07/31/2018	517-505-1301			
Туре о	of Impoundment: 🗌 Concrete Gravity 🔀 Embankment		Type of Inspection	Initial	Weather Wet Dry		
Concre	te Arch 🔲 Stone Masonry 🗌 Concrete Buttress 🔲 Other		Periodic Follow u	p 🗌 Other	Snow Cover Other		
	Description		Condition Assessment				
The Ar	ea 15 Bottom Ash Basin is an 104.48 acre surface			satisfactory t rated			
impou	ndment; the northern half is deposited sluiced ash wh	ile	Fair				
the so	uthern half contains from 3 to 25 ft of water surround	ed by					
an em	bankment.						
Remar	ks			Recommenda			
			□ None [] Maintenance []	∐Inspection let ☐Deficiency let			
			Monitoring	EOR notice			
			Minor Repair		Engineering study Periodic reinspection		
			Engineering [Inspection by	y EOR		
	evel (ft)		Total Precipitation since	e last inspection	on		
~575 f	t MSL		n/a				
	Pro	blems				COVER:	
		Scarps		cement			
出		Sloughi		nt in rip rap	Rip rap		
ΈĄ			□21. nining □22.	þ	Concrete		
PE/	\square 5. Animal Burrows \square 11. Cracks \square 17.	Displace	ed joints 23.	Other Erosion		Asphalt	
SLOPE/	□ 5. Animal Burrows □ 6. Livestock damage □ 11. Cracks □ 12. Spalling □ 18. □ 13. □ 15. □ 15. □ 16. □ 17. □ 17. □ 18. □ 18. □ 19.	Displace		Other Erosion		☐ Asphalt ☐ Other	
AM SLOPE	□ 5. Animal Burrows □ 6. Livestock damage □ 12. Spalling □ 18. □ Comments / Action Items	Displace Deterior	ed joints 23. rated joints 24.	Other Erosion Other	nad is made ur	Other	
STREAM SLOPE/	 ☐ 5. Animal Burrows ☐ 6. Livestock damage ☐ 12. Spalling ☐ 18. Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin is t 	Displace Deterior	ed joints 23. rated joints 24. 20' wide at the crest – wh	Other Erosion Other ile the access ro	-	Other of crushed	
UPSTREAM SLOPE/FACE	□ 5. Animal Burrows □ 6. Livestock damage □ 12. Spalling □ 18. □ Comments / Action Items	Displace Deterior	ed joints 23. rated joints 24. 20' wide at the crest – wh	Other Erosion Other ile the access ro	-	Other of crushed	
UPSTREAM SLOPE/	 ☐ 5. Animal Burrows ☐ 6. Livestock damage ☐ 12. Spalling ☐ 18. Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin is t 	Displace Deterior	ed joints 23. rated joints 24. 20' wide at the crest – wh	Other Erosion Other ile the access ro	-	Other of crushed	
UPSTREAM SLOPE/	□ 5. Animal Burrows □ 11. Cracks □ 17. □ 6. Livestock damage □ 12. Spalling □ 18. Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin is trock and rip-rap the interior sideslopes are heavily vegeta	Displace Deterion sypically ated. Th	ed joints 23. rated joints 24. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is	Other Erosion Other ile the access ro	-	Other of crushed	
UPSTREAM SLOPE/	□ 5. Animal Burrows □ 11. Cracks □ 17. □ 6. Livestock damage □ 12. Spalling □ 18. Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin is trock and rip-rap the interior sideslopes are heavily vegeta	Displace Deterion sypically ated. Th	ed joints	Other Erosion Other ile the access ro s a rip-rap sepa	-	Other of crushed	
UPSTREAM SLOPE/	□ 5. Animal Burrows □ 11. Cracks □ 12. Spalling □ 18. Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin is t rock and rip-rap the interior sideslopes are heavily vegeta Actions □ None □ 12. Spalling □ 18. PRO The embankments Surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin is t rock and rip-rap the interior sideslopes are heavily vegeta Actions □ 17. None	Displace Deterior Expically ated. Th	ed joints	Other Erosion Other ile the access ro s a rip-rap sepa	ration berm b	Other of crushed uilt in 2015.	
	11. Cracks 17. 18. 18. 19	Displace Deterior Expically ated. The Pring DBLEMS 11: 11:	ed joints rated joints 23. 24. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is Minor Repair Er S 2. Cracks 3. Deteriorated joints	Other Erosion Other ile the access ros a rip-rap separation gineering 17. Scarps 18. Spallin	ration berm b	Other Of crushed uilt in 2015. COVER: Vegetation Rip rap	
	11. Cracks 17. 18. 18. 19	Displace Deterior Expically ated. Th ring DBLEMS 11: 11: 11:	ed joints rated joints 23. 24. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is Minor Repair Er S 2. Cracks 3. Deteriorated joints 4. Displaced joints	Other Erosion Other ile the access ros a rip-rap separation gineering 17. Scarps 18. Spallin 19. Sinkho	g les	COVER: Vegetation Rip rap Concrete	
	11. Cracks 17. 18. 18. 18. 19	Displace Deterior Expically ated. Th Tring DBLEMS 11. 11. 11.	ed joints rated joints 23. 224. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is Minor Repair S 2. Cracks 3. Deteriorated joints 4. Displaced joints 5. Exposed reinforcement	other Erosion Other ile the access ros a rip-rap separation gineering 17. Scarps 18. Spallin 19. Sinkho 20. Puddle	g les	COVER: Vegetation Rip rap Concrete Asphalt	
	11. Cracks 17. 18. 18. 18. 19. 18. 19. 18. 19. 18. 19. 19. 18. 19	Displace Deterior Expically ated. Th Tring DBLEMS 11. 11. 11.	ed joints rated joints 23. 24. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is Minor Repair Er S 2. Cracks 3. Deteriorated joints 4. Displaced joints	Other Erosion Other ile the access ros a rip-rap separation gineering 17. Scarps 18. Spallin 19. Sinkho	g les	COVER: Vegetation Rip rap Concrete	
	11. Cracks 17. 18. 18. 18. 19	Displace Deterior Expically ated. Th Pring DBLEMS 11 11 11 11	ed joints rated joints 23. 224. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is Minor Repair Er S 2. Cracks 3. Deteriorated joints 4. Displaced joints 5. Exposed reinforcement 6. Settlement	other Erosion Other ile the access ros a rip-rap separation gineering 17. Scarps 18. Spallin 19. Sinkho 20. Puddle 21. Other	g les s	COVER: Vegetation Rip rap Concrete Asphalt Other	
	11. Cracks 17. 18. 18. 19	Displace Deterior Expically ated. Th Pring DBLEMS 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	ed joints rated joints 224. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is Minor Repair Er S 2. Cracks 3. Deteriorated joints 4. Displaced joints 5. Exposed reinforcement 6. Settlement Illy 20' wide at the crest; the	other Erosion Other ile the access ross a rip-rap separation gineering 17. Scarps 18. Spallin 19. Sinkho 20. Puddle 21. Other	g les s	COVER: Vegetation Rip rap Concrete Asphalt Other	
TOP OF DAM/CREST UPSTREAM SLOPE/	☐ 1. Cracks ☐ 17. Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin is trock and rip-rap the interior sideslopes are heavily vegetation solves. Action S ☐ None ☐ Maintenance ☐ Monitor ☐ PRC ☐ 1. None ☐ 2. Vegetation >2" dia. ☐ 3. Veg. height >6" ☐ 4. High bushes ☐ 5. Animal Burrows ☐ 6. Livestock damage ☐ 10. Misalignment ☐ 11. Signs of overtopping ☐ Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are	Displace Deterior Expically ated. Th Pring DBLEMS 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	ed joints rated joints 224. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is Minor Repair Er S 2. Cracks 3. Deteriorated joints 4. Displaced joints 5. Exposed reinforcement 6. Settlement Illy 20' wide at the crest; the	other Erosion Other ile the access ross a rip-rap separation gineering 17. Scarps 18. Spallin 19. Sinkho 20. Puddle 21. Other	g les s	COVER: Vegetation Rip rap Concrete Asphalt Other	
	S. Animal Burrows ☐ 6. Livestock damage ☐ 12. Spalling ☐ 18. Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin is trock and rip-rap the interior sideslopes are heavily vegetations Actions ☐ None ☐ 1. None ☐ 2. Vegetation > 2" dia. ☐ 3. Veg. height > 6" ☐ 4. High bushes ☐ 5. Animal Burrows ☐ 6. Livestock damage ☐ Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap. While the access road is in fairly good condition to the surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap. While the access road is in fairly good condition to the surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap. While the access road is in fairly good condition to the surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap. While the access road is in fairly good condition to the surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap.	Displace Deterior Expically ated. Th Pring DBLEMS 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	ed joints rated joints 224. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is Minor Repair Er S 2. Cracks 3. Deteriorated joints 4. Displaced joints 5. Exposed reinforcement 6. Settlement Illy 20' wide at the crest; the	other Erosion Other ile the access ross a rip-rap separation gineering 17. Scarps 18. Spallin 19. Sinkho 20. Puddle 21. Other	g les s	COVER: Vegetation Rip rap Concrete Asphalt Other	
	S. Animal Burrows ☐ 6. Livestock damage ☐ 12. Spalling ☐ 18. Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin is trock and rip-rap the interior sideslopes are heavily vegetations Actions ☐ None ☐ 1. None ☐ 2. Vegetation > 2" dia. ☐ 3. Veg. height > 6" ☐ 4. High bushes ☐ 5. Animal Burrows ☐ 6. Livestock damage ☐ Comments / Action Items The embankments surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap. While the access road is in fairly good condition to the surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap. While the access road is in fairly good condition to the surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap. While the access road is in fairly good condition to the surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap. While the access road is in fairly good condition to the surrounding the Bottom Ash Basin are rip-rap.	Displace Deterior Expically ated. Th ring DBLEMS 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 1	ed joints rated joints 224. 20' wide at the crest – where southern embankment is Minor Repair Er S 2. Cracks 3. Deteriorated joints 4. Displaced joints 5. Exposed reinforcement 6. Settlement Illy 20' wide at the crest; the	other Erosion Other ile the access ross a rip-rap separation gineering 17. Scarps 18. Spallin 19. Sinkho 20. Puddle 21. Other	g les made up of cr	COVER: Vegetation Rip rap Concrete Asphalt Other	

Page 2 of 6

	PROBLEMS									
E/FACE	 □ 1. None □ 2. Vegetation >2" dia.\ □ 3. Veg. height >6" □ 4. High bushes □ 5. Poor grass cover □ 6. Animal Burrows □ 7. Livestock damage 	8. Wetness 9. Seepage 10. Boils 11. Puddles 12. Erosion 13. Slope instability 14. Scarps	15. Sloughs/bulges 16. Depressions 17. Undercutting 18. Rutting/rills 19. Cracks 20. Scour 21. Spalling	22. Displaced join 23. Deteriorated j 24. Exposed reinfo 25. Riprap needs i 26. Veg. or sedimo	oints orcement attention					
OPI	28. Does standing water or seepage contain sediment?									
Л SL	29. Is there natural hillside seepage in in embankment area?									
EAN	Describe seepage with regard	· ·								
DOWNSTREAM SLOPE/FACE	None	,,	,							
DO	Comments /Action Items Along the outside embankme 2015.									
	Along the western edge of the discharge canal). DTE should					liong the				
	Actions No					Ingineering				
			DBLEMS			COVER:				
5	☐ 1. None ☐ 2. Vegetation >2" dia. ☐ 3. Veg. height >6" ☐ 4. High bushes ☐ 5. Poor grass cover ☐ 6. Animal Burrows ☐ 7. Livestock damage	■ 8. Wetness ■ 9. Seepage ■ 10. Boils ■ 11. Puddles ■ 12. Erosion ■ 13. Slope instability ■ 14. Scarps	15. Sloughs/bulges 16. Depressions 17. Undercutting 18. Rutting/rills 19. Cracks 20. Scour 21. Spalling	22. Displaced join 23. Deteriorated j 24. Exposed reinfo 25. Riprap needs 26. Veg. or sedimo	oints orcement attention	□ Vegetation □ Rip rap □ Concrete □ Asphalt □ Other				
NTA	28. Does standing water or seepage contain sediment?									
TOE CONTACT	Describe seepage with regard None	to quantity and clarity (turbi	dity). Note changes:							
	Comments /Action Items Toe is inaccessible to direct in	snection due to heavy vege	tation Portions of the to	ne of slone that are vis	ihle from the so	outh hank and				
	other slopes look to be in goo		tation. Tortions of the te	oc of slope that are vis	ibic iroin the st	atir bank and				
	Actions No.	ne Mainter	nance Monitorin	ng Minor Re	epair [Engineering				
			DBLEMS			COVER:				
ABUTMENT CONTACTS	☐ 1. None ☐ 2. Vegetation >2" dia. ☐ 3. Veg. height >6" ☐ 4. High bushes ☐ 5. Poor grass cover ☐ 6. Animal Burrows ☐ 7. Livestock damage	□ 8. Wetness □ 9. Seepage □ 10. Boils □ 11. Puddles □ 12. Erosion □ 13. Slope instability □ 14. Scarps	☐ 15. Sloughs/bulges☐ 16. Depressions☐ 17. Undercutting☐ 18. Rutting/rills☐ 19. Cracks☐ 20. Scour☐ 21. Spalling☐ 19. Cracks☐ 21. Spalling☐ 19. Cracks☐ 21. Spalling☐ 22. Spalling☐ 23. Spalling☐ 24. Spalling☐ 25. Slought 25. Spalling☐ 26. Slought	22. Displaced join 23. Deteriorated j 24. Exposed reinform 25. Riprap needs 26. Veg. or sedimore.	oints orcement attention	☐ Vegetation ☐ Rip rap ☐ Concrete ☐ Asphalt ☐ Other				
ABUTMEN	Comments /Action Items Not applicable									
	Actions No	ne Mainter	nance Monitorin	ng Minor Re	pair T F	ngineering				
	Actions			ا الاستانا الا	۲~··	11011100111116				

Page 3 of 6

	OBSERVATIONS								
PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY	□ No Spillway								
	Is spillway control sy	stem operating pro	perly?						⊠Yes □No
			CHANNEL LINING						
	□ 1. None □ 2. Trashguard □ 3. Debris □ 4. Obstructed □ 5. Plugged/Clogged □ 6. Gates Damaged □ 7. Gates leaking □ 8. Gates Rusted □ 19. Misalignment □ 10. Joints leaking □ 11. Joint deterioration □ 12. Joint displacement □ 13. Conduit collapsed □ 14. Exposed reinforcement □ 15. Erosion □ 15. Erosion		g vration ement apsed offorcement	BLEMS 16. Undermining 17. Voids 18. Cracks 19. Holes 20. Spalling 21. Slides 22. Outlet undercutting astream weir is overgrown wi		23. Sloughing 24. Scarps 25. Deteriorated lining 26. Boils 27. Outlet erosion 28. Displaced rip rap 29. Sparse rip rap 30. Other			Vegetation Rip rap Concrete Asphalt Other
	spillway acts as both pr								7
	Actions	⊠None	<u></u> Mainten		Monitoring	Minor Repair		L	Engineering
	_			OBSERVATION					
	☐No emergency spillw	<i>r</i> ay			Same as prim	ary spillway			
			PROBL	LEMS					CHANNEL LINING
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY	☐ 1. None ☐ 5. Joint deterioration ☐ 6. Joint displacement ☐ 7. Exposed reinforcement ☐ 8. Erosion			□ 9. Undermining □ 10. Voids □ 11. Cracks □ 12. Holes □ 13. Outlet erosion □ 14. Displaced rip □ 15. Sparse rip rag □ 16. Outlet unders □ 17. Inadequate ca			utting [□ Vegetation □ Rip rap □ Concrete □ Asphalt □ Other
EMERGEI	Comments /Action Item See Principal Spillway A	Above							
	Actions	None □]Maintenance		nitoring	☐Minor Repair			Engineering
				Observation	ns				
		ystem operating prop						Yes	□No □N/A
	Valves and o	perators in good co	ondition?						□No ⊠N/A
	Walkway in go	ood condition?					\boxtimes	Yes	□No □N/A
Ä	4. Is there any to	urbidity observed at tl	he outlet?					Yes	⊠No □N/A
5	5. Seepage at pi	pe outlet						Yes	□No ⊠N/A
C.	6. No Bottom Dr	ain						Yes	□No ⊠N/A
TR	7. Bottom Drain	Operable						Yes	□No ⊠N/A
ΞΞ	8. Subsurface Dr	rain Dry						Yes	□No ⊠N/A
		rain muddy flow						Yes	□No ⊠N/A
no,		ain obstructed						Yes	□No ⊠N/A
DRAINS/OUTLET STRUCTURE	11. Animal guard						_	Yes	□No ⊠N/A
₹	12. other						T	Yes	□No □N/A
DF	Comments /Action Item None	ns				,			
	Actions	⊠None	Mainten	ance	Monitoring	☐ Minor Repair			Engineering

Page 4 of 6

RESERVIOR/POOL				OBSER	VATION				
	Has there been a sudden drop in the content level of the Impoundment								⊠No
				PROBLEMS					
		ne dequate freeboard	3. Skimmer 4. Depression	s 🔲 5. Whirlp	ools	6. Sinkholes	⊠7. Unwa	nted growth	n in pond water
	Pool lev	Comments /Action Items Pool level has been relatively steady since observations were first begun by this inspector in late 2015. Southern separation berm has 2 pipes and a lowered section to allow for equalization of water levels between the Bottom Ash Basin and the Coal Pile Runoff Basin.							
	Actions	⊠None □M	aintenance	Monitoring [Minor Re	epair Engine	ering		
					VATIONS				
	1.	leachate/stormwater					itact?		No ⊠N/A
	2.	Drainage/ diversion d	itches/riprap-lined	channels in good	condition?	?		Yes	No ⊠N/A
	3.	Other steel structures	s/steel reinforceme	ent in concrete stru	ctures in	good condition?		Yes	No 🗆 N/A
	4.	Other concrete struct	ures in good condi	tion?				Yes	No 🗆 N/A
	5.	Overflow pipes and fl	ap gates on filter d	am/ drain pipe filte	er zone in	good condition?		Yes _	No 🗆 N/A
	6.	Howell Bunger Valves	in good condition	?				Yes	No 🗆 N/A
	7.	Weirs in good conditi	on?					Yes	No 🗆 N/A
~	8.	Perimeter Fences and		idition?				⊠Yes □	No N/A
ОТНЕВ	Security devices in good condition								No ⊠N/A
6	10. Signs in good condition								No ⊠N/A
	11. Instrumentation in good condition								No ⊠N/A
	12. Reference monuments/Survey Monuments in good condition								No⊠N/A
	13.			0					No ⊠N/A
	Commer	nts /Action Items							
				•					
	Actions	⊠Non	e L	Maintenance	Mor	nitoring	Minor Repair	Eı	ngineering
Are there any other abnormal conditions at the Impoundment that could pose a risk to public health, safety or welfare; the environment or natural resources Yes No									
Inspe	Inspector Signature Scott J. Jutsell								
-	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1								
Date:	Date: <u>07/31/18</u>								



Photo 1: Looking north along east access road; note overgrown vegetation on inboard edge of berm.



Photo 2: Looking east downslope at the large rip-rap protecting the berm along Lake Erie.



Photo 3: Looking east from west access road at south separation berm.



Photo 4: Looking west from east access road at south separation berm.

